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## 1. Introduction

The classical problem of Chebyshev referred to in the title concerns the best approximation of a power $x^{n}$ by a polynomial $Q_{n-1}(x)$ of degree $n-1$, using the sup norm over the interval [-1, 1]. The resulting polynomial $x^{n}-Q_{n-1}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind $T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta(x==\cos \theta)$ with leading coefficient set equal to one. The problem considered here is to approximate the powers $x^{8+1}, s^{s+2}, \ldots, x^{n}$ simultaneously using the lower terms $1, x \ldots, x^{s}$. Let $f^{\prime}(x)=\left(1, x, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ (primes will denote transposes), $f_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\left(1, x, \ldots, x^{5}\right)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\left(x^{s+1}\right.$, $\left.x^{s+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$. Further, let $Q$ be an arbitrary $(n-s) \times(s+1)$ matrix and $A$ be a positive definite $(n-s) \times(n-s)$ matrix with $a$ fixed value, say 1 , for its determinant. It is required to find the value of both $Q$ and $A$ which will minimize the supremum over $[-1,1]$ of

$$
d(x: Q, A)=\left(f_{2}(x)-Q f_{1}(x)\right)^{\prime} A\left(f_{2}(x)-Q f_{i}(x)\right)
$$

Note that when $s=n-1$ we have the original problem of Chebyshev. The solution to the generalized problem arose from a problem in the optiona? design of experiments. It is arrived at fairly simply using certain "canonical moments" of measures on $[-1,1]$. The simplicity of the solution seems to require minimizing over the matrix $A$ as well as the polynomial part $Q$.

A solution to the original problem using the canonical moments is described in the Section 2. Section 3 describes the general soletion. Some examples are considered in the final section together with some properties of the general solution.
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## 2. Solution of Original Problem

In this section we give a solution to the original problem using canonical moments. Let $q$ denote a vector of dimension $n+1$ with a 1 in the last component. The problem is then to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in[-1,1]}\left|q^{\prime} f(x)\right|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to $q$. If $\xi$ denotes an arbitrary probability measure on $[-1,1]$ then (2.1) may be replaced by

$$
\sup _{\xi} \int\left(q^{\prime} f(x)\right)^{2} d \xi(x)=\sup _{\xi} q^{\prime} M(\xi) q
$$

where $M(\xi)$ is the $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix with elements

$$
m_{i j}=\int x^{i+\jmath} d \xi(x), \quad i, j=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

Using game theoretic arguments it may be shown that

$$
\rho=\inf _{q} \sup _{\xi} q^{\prime} M(\xi) q=\sup _{\xi} \inf _{q} q^{\prime} M(\xi) q
$$

Letting $e^{\prime}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ it then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1} & =\inf _{\xi} \sup _{q} \frac{\left(e^{\prime} q\right)^{2}}{q^{\prime} M(\xi) q} \\
& =\inf _{\xi} e^{\prime} M^{-1}(\xi) e .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality uses Schwartz's inequality. Note for later reference that equality is achieved for the supremum over $q$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=M^{-1}(\xi) e / e^{\prime} M^{-1}(\xi) e \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem is now to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\prime} M^{-1}(\xi) e=\frac{\left|M_{11}(\xi)\right|}{|M(\xi)|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|M(\xi)|$ and $\left|M_{11}(\xi)\right|$ are the determinants of $M(\xi)$ and

$$
M_{11}(\xi)=\int f_{1}(x) f_{1}^{\prime}(x) d \xi(x)
$$

The two determinants involved and their ratio have a simple expression in terms of the canonical moments of $\xi$. For any probability measure $\xi$ on $[-1,1]$ let $c_{i}=\int x^{i} d \xi(x), i=0,1, \ldots$. Now let $c_{k}{ }^{+}$denote the maximum
value of the $k$ th moment over measures $\mu$ having the same first $k-1$ moments as $\xi$. That is, consider those $\mu$ on $[-1,1]$ with $\int x^{i} d \mu(x)=c_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$; then $c_{k}{ }^{+}=\sup _{\mu} \int x^{k} d \mu(x)$. Similarly let $c_{k}^{-}$denote the corresponding minimum. The canonical moments are defined by

$$
p_{k}=\frac{c_{k}-c_{k}^{-}}{c_{k}^{+}-c_{k}^{-}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Whenever $c_{k}{ }^{-}=c_{k}{ }^{+}$we leave the $p_{k}$ undefined. If we then let

$$
\eta_{0}=q_{0}=1, \quad \eta_{j}=q_{j-1} p_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots\left(p_{2}+q_{i}=1\right),
$$

the determinant $\mid M(\xi)$ ) is (see Skibinsky [4] or Studden [5]) a multiple of

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{2 \imath-1} \eta_{2 i}\right)^{n+1-i} .
$$

The ratio in (2.3) then turns out to be a constant times

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\eta_{2 i-1} \eta_{2 i}\right)^{s+1-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{2 i-1} \eta_{2 i}\right)^{n+1-i}} \tag{2,4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s=n-1$ this quantity is the inverse of

$$
p_{2 n} \prod_{i=1}^{2 n-1} p_{i} q_{i}
$$

which is maximized for

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1, p_{2 n}=1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The general solution is given in (3.4) below). Now the measure with density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has canonical moments $p_{i} \equiv \frac{1}{2}$. See Skibinsky [4] or Karlin and Studden [2, p. 120]. Since the moments $c_{0}=1, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are in $1-1$ correspondence, the minimizing measure $\xi_{n-1}$ corresponding to (2.5) has its first $2 n-1$ moments equal to those of the measure (2.6).

The solution to the original problem, namely that $T_{n}(x)$, with leading coefficient 1 , minimizes ( 2.1 ) now follows. It can be shown using the corresponding $q=q_{n-1}$ from (2.2) that the polynomial $q_{n-1}^{\prime} f(x)$ is orthogonal to $x^{3}$, $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, with respect to the measure in (2.6).

The measure $\xi_{n-1}$ corresponding to (2.5) is an "upper principal represen-
tation" for the measure (2.6). It concentrates mass proportional to $1: 2: 2: \ldots: 2: 1$ at the $n+1$ zeros of $\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$. This can be verified by noting that $\xi_{n-1}$ provides a quadrature formula corresponding to the measure (2.6) which is exact for polynomials of degree $2 n-1$. This quadrature formula is a classical Bouzitat formula of the second kind. (See Ghizzetti and Ossicini [1].) It may also be verified by noting that the support of $\xi_{n-1}$ must be the points where $T_{n}{ }^{2}(x)$ attains its supremum, i.e., the zeros of ( $1-x^{2}$ ) $T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$. The corresponding weights at these points may be obtained by matching up the first $n$ moments and requiring total mass equal to 1 .

## 3. The General Solution

As indicated in the introduction the problem now is to find the $Q$ and $A$ which will minimize the supremum on $[-1,1]$ of the quantity $d(x ; Q, A)$ defined in Eq. (1.1). A considerable simplification is obtained if we use some of the results from Karlin and Studden [2, p. 367, Theorem 8.1]. It is shown there that the minimizing $Q$ and $A$ are of a certain form. For any $\xi$ we partition the matrix $M(\xi)$ according to $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by defining

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{11}(\xi)=M_{11}=\int f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime} d \xi, \quad M_{22}=\int f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} d \xi \quad \text { and } \\
M_{12}^{\prime}=M_{12}=\int f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
M(\xi)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The minimizing $Q$ is shown to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q\left(\xi_{s}\right)=M_{21}\left(\xi_{s}\right) M_{11}^{-1}\left(\xi_{s}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{s}$ maximizes the determinant of the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1}(\xi)==M_{20}(\xi)-M_{21}(\xi) M_{11}^{-1}(\xi) M_{12}(\xi) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $A$ was normalized to have determinant equal to one. The minimizing $A$ is the matrix $A\left(\xi_{s}\right)$ suitably normalized. Since the normalization does not change the problem we can restrict the matrix $A$ to have determinant equal to that of $A\left(\xi_{s}\right)$.

Now the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
|M|==\left|M_{11}\right|\left|M_{22}-M_{21} M_{11}^{-1} M_{12}\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that minimizing $|A(\xi)|$ is equivalent to minimizing (2.4) for general $s$. The minimizing measure $\dot{\xi}_{s}$ can readily be shown to have canonical moments

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} . & & i \text { odd, } \\
p_{2 t} & =\frac{1}{2}, & & i=1,2, \ldots s, \\
& =\frac{n-i+1}{2 n-2 i+1}, & & i=s+1, s+2, \ldots n-i, \\
& =1, & & i=n, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

One can now convert back to the measure $\xi_{s}$, then to the ordinary moments of $\xi_{s}$ and then to the matrices $Q$ and $A$. It is also possible to evaluate the ordinary moments of $\xi_{s}$ used in $Q$ and $A$ directly from the canonical moments given in (3.4). These relationships are described more fully in Skibinsky [9] or Studden [5] and relate the power series generating the ordinary moments with its continued fraction expansion.

Let $\delta_{0}=1, \delta_{i}=q_{2 i-2} p_{2 i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ and define $U_{i}$ recursivefy by $U_{\mathrm{Q} j} \equiv \mathrm{i}, j=0,1, \ldots$ and for $i \leqslant j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i j}=\sum_{k=i}^{j} \delta_{k-i+1} U_{i-1, k}, \quad i, j=1,2 \ldots \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whenever $p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ for $i$ odd the ordinary moments $c_{i}=\int x ; d$ are then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 i-1}=0, \quad c_{2 i}=U_{i i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3,6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relationship between the $p_{i}$ and the $c_{i}$ is slightiy more involved when the symmetry producing $p_{2 i \sim 1}=\frac{1}{2}$ is not present.

The minimizing measure $\xi_{s}$ also has a simple description, See Studden [5]. The support of $\xi_{s}$ consists of the points $\pm 1$ and the $n-1$ zercs of

$$
p_{n-s}^{\prime}(x) t_{s+1}^{\prime}(x)-\approx_{s} p_{n-s-1}^{\prime}(x) t_{s}^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-s-1)}{(2 n-2 s-1)} \quad s=0,1, \ldots \ldots-1
$$

and $p_{2}^{\prime}$ and $t_{2}^{\prime}$ are the derivatives of the Legendre and Chebyshev polynomiais $P_{k j}(x)$ and $T_{k}(x)$ normalized so that their leading coefficients are one. We note that $T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind and $P_{i k}^{\prime}(x)$, $k=1,2, \ldots$ are orthogonal to $\left(1-x^{2}\right) d x$, however we prefer to leave things in terms of $P_{k}$ and $T_{k}$.)

The weights that $\xi_{s}$ assigns to each of the zeros $x_{z}$ of (3.7) and $\pm 1$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{2 n+1+U_{2 s}\left(x_{i}\right)} \quad i==0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{2 \mathrm{~s}}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind,

$$
U_{k}(x)=\frac{\sin (k+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}, \quad x=\cos \theta
$$

## 4. Examples and Further Properties

As mentioned at the beginning of Section 3 the reduction of the minimizing $A$ and $Q$ to the form (3.1) and (3.2) is given in Karlin and Studden [2]. The same Theorem 8.1 on p. 367 also says that with the matrix $A$ of the form (3.2) the quantity $d(x ; Q, A)$, with the minimizing $Q_{s}=Q\left(\xi_{s}\right)$ and $A_{s}=A\left(\xi_{s}\right)$, satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x ; Q_{s}, A_{s}\right) \leqslant n-s \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s=n-1$ the expression $d\left(x ; Q_{s}, A_{s}\right)$ reduces to $T_{n}{ }^{2}(x)$. Equation (4.1) is then just the familiar fact that $T_{n}^{2}(x) \leqslant 1$ for $x \in[-1,1]$.

The polynomial $T_{n}$ is orthogonal to $x^{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ with respect to (2.6). Since the minimizing measure $\xi_{n-1}$ and (2.6) have the same moments $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 n-1}$ it follows that $t_{n}$ and $x^{/ k}$ are orthogonal with respect to $\xi_{n-1}$. There seems to be no analog to (2.6) for the general measure $\xi_{s}$. However if we define

$$
\left(g_{s+1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)^{\prime}=A_{s}^{\mathbb{1} / 2}\left(f_{2}-Q_{s} f_{1}\right)
$$

then the polynomials $g_{i}, i=s+1, \ldots, n$ are orthonormal and orthgonal to $1, x_{2}, \ldots, x^{s}$ with respect to the measure $\xi_{s}$. See Kiefer [3] or Karlin and Studden [2].

As a specific example consider the case $n=3$. Using Eq. (3.4) we note that all the odd canonical moments are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ while the even moments are

$$
\begin{array}{llll} 
& p_{2} & p_{4} & p_{6} \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } s=0 & 3 / 5 & 2 / 3 & 1 \\
s=1 & 1 / 2 & 2 / 3 & 1 \\
s=2 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}
$$

A few further calculations using (3.6) and (3.5) give the ordinary moments.

The odd moments $c_{2 i-1}$ are zero while the even moments are given by the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lccl} 
& c_{2} & c_{4} & c_{\mathfrak{0}} \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } s=0 & 3 / 5 & 13 / 25 & 63 / 125 \\
s=1 & 1 / 2 & 5 / 12 & 29 / 72 \\
s=2 & 1 / 2 & 3 / 8 & 11 / 32
\end{array}
$$

Equation (4.1) for the three cases then gives

$$
s=2
$$

$$
\left(x^{3}-\frac{3}{4} x\right) 16\left(x^{3}-\frac{3}{4} x\right) \leqslant 1 ;
$$

$$
s=1
$$

$$
\binom{x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{x^{3}-\frac{5}{6} x}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 0 \\
0 & 18
\end{array}\right)\binom{x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{x^{3}-\frac{5}{8} x} \leqslant 2 ;
$$

$s=0:$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
x^{2}-\frac{3}{5} \\
x^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime} \frac{5}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
63 & 0 & -13 \\
0 & 5 & 0 \\
-13 & 0 & 15
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
x^{2}-\frac{3}{5} \\
x^{2}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant 3 .
$$

For $s=0$ the measure $\xi_{0}$ has equal mass $1 /(n+1)$ on the zeros of $\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$, which for $n=3$ gives $x= \pm 1$ and $x= \pm 1 \sqrt{5}$. For $s=n-1$ the measure $\xi_{n-1}$ has mass on the zeros of $\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$, which are $x_{\mathrm{r}}=\cos (v \pi / n) s=0,1, \ldots, n$. The interior zeros have weight $1 / n$ while $\pm 1$ have weight $1 / 2 n$ each. For $s=1$ and $n=3$ there is weight $\frac{3}{d} 0$ on $x= \pm 1 / \sqrt{6}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$ on $x= \pm 1$.
As a final remark observe that $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{n-1}$ give essentially equal weight to the zeros of $\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$ and $\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$, respectively. The zeros of all the classical polynomials distribute themselves according to the density given in (2.6). Therefore $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{n-1}$ both converge (weakly) to the measure with density (2.6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The measures $\xi_{s}$, which also depend on $n$, can be shown to lie between $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{n-1}$ so that they all converge to (2.6) uniformly in $s$.

## References

1. A. Ghlzzetti and A. Ossicint, "Quadrature Formulae," Academic Press, New York, 1970.
2. S. Karlin and W. J. Studden, "Tchebycheff Systems: With Applications in Analysis and Statistics," Interscience, New York, 1966.
3. J. Kiefer, An extremum result, Canad. J. Math. 14 (1962), 597-601.
4. M. Skibinsky, Some striking properties of binomial and beta moments, Ann. Math. Statist. 40 (1969), 1753-1764.
5. W. J. STUDDEN, $D_{s}$-optimal designs for polynomial regression using continued fractions, Ann. Math. Statist. 8 (1980).

[^0]:    * Research supported by NSF Grant MCS75-08235 A0:.

